

Golden State Connect Authority (GSCA)

Board of Directors Meeting

Wednesday May 17, 2024 8:30 A.M.

Monterey County Building Cayenne Room 1441 Shilling Place Salinas, CA 93901

Golden State Connect Authority (GSCA) Board of Directors Meeting May 17, 2024 - 8:30 a.m. Monterey County Building Cayenne Room 1441 Shilling Place Salinas, CA 93901

Additional Teleconference Location(s) are Listed on the Last Page of this Agenda

(All Teleconference Locations are Accessible to the Public)

This meeting will also be livestreamed for public access. Members of the public can watch or listen to the meeting using one of the following methods:

1. Join the Zoom meeting application on your computer, tablet or smartphone:

Go to: https://rcrcnet.zoom.us/j/84644884585

Enter Password: 798719

2. Call-in and listen to the meeting:

Dial +1 (669) 444-9171

Enter meeting ID: 846 4488 4585

Enter password: 798719

PUBLIC COMMENT USING ZOOM: Members of the public who join the Zoom meeting, either through the Zoom app or by calling in, will be able to provide live public comment at specific points throughout the meeting.

EMAIL PUBLIC COMMENT: One may also email public comment to mchui@rcrcnet.org before or during the meeting. All emailed public comments will be forwarded to all GSCA Board of Directors members.

AGENDA

1. Call to Order and Determination of Quorum

Chair, Supervisor Jack Garamendi, Calaveras County Vice Chair, Supervisor David Griffith, Alpine County

2. Pledge of Allegiance

3. Public Comment

At this time any member of the public may address the Board. Speakers are asked to state their name for the record but are not required to do so. Comments are usually limited to no more than 3 minutes per speaker.

4. Consent Agenda – ACTION

a. March 13, 2024 Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

Page 1 Page 5

b. Approval of Audited Financial Statements – December 31, 2023

Milena De Melo, RCRC Finance Director

c. Legislative and Regulatory Advocacy Update

Page 31

Tracy Rhine, RCRC Senior Policy Advocate

5. GSCA Chair's Report

Chair, Supervisor Jack Garamendi, Calaveras County

6. Program Updates

Barbara Hayes, RCRC Chief Economic Development Officer

a. Broadband Program Update

Page 53

7. Adjournment

Meeting facilities are accessible to persons with disabilities. By request, alternative agenda document formats are available to persons with disabilities. To arrange an alternative agenda document format or to arrange aid or services to modify or accommodate persons with a disability to participate in a public meeting, please call (916) 447-4806 at least 48 hours before the meeting.

Agenda items will be taken as close as possible to the schedule indicated. Any member of the general public may comment on agenda items during the public comment period. To facilitate public comment, please let staff know if you would like to speak on an agenda item. The agenda for this meeting of the Board of Directors of Golden State Connect Authority was duly posted at its offices, 1215 K Street, Suite 1650, Sacramento, California, at least 72 hours prior to the meeting.

Any written materials related to an open session item on this agenda that are submitted less than 24 hours prior to the meeting, and that are not exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act, will promptly be made available for public inspection at GSCA's principal office, 1215 K Street, Suite 1650, Sacramento, CA 95814, (916) 447-4806, during normal business hours, and on the GSCA website, https://www.goldenstateconnect.org

<u>Additional Teleconference Location(s)</u>

Mendocino County	
Seaside Conference Room	
778 S. Franklin St.,	
Fort Bragg, CA 95437	

Golden State Connect Authority Board of Directors Meeting March 13, 2024 - 9:00 a.m. 1215 K Street, Suite 1650 Sacramento, CA 95814

MINUTES

Kathleen Haff

Angel Barajas Gary Bradford

Call to Order, Determination of Quorum and Self Introductions

GSCA Chair, Supervisor Jack Garamendi, Calaveras County, presided. Present were Executive Director Patrick Blacklock, General Counsel Arthur J. Wylene, and RCRC Director of Board Operations Maggie Chui, clerk. GSCA Chair Garamendi called the meeting to order at 2:01 p.m. A quorum was determined at that time. Those members present:

<u>Supervisor</u> County David Griffith Alpine Brian Oneto Amador Doug Teeter Butte Jack Garamendi Calaveras Daurice Smith Colusa Darrin Short Del Norte Lori Parlin El Dorado Monica Rossman Glenn Rex Bohn Humboldt EJ Crandell Lake Aaron Albaugh Lassen Miles Menetrey Mariposa Geri Byrne Modoc Rhonda Duggan Mono Chris Lopez Monterey Sue Hoek Nevada Plumas Kevin Goss Mindy Sotelo San Benito John Peschong San Luis Obispo Bob Nelson Santa Barbara Kevin Crye Shasta Lee Adams* Sierra Nancy Ogren Siskiyou Mike Ziegenmeyer Sutter Matt Hansen Tehama Jill Cox Trinity Dennis Townsend Tulare

Yuba

Tuolumne Yolo

Absent

Michael Kelley Imperial Matt Kingsley Inyo Doug Verboon Kings Robert Poythress Madera **Ted Williams** Mendocino Daron McDaniel Merced Anne Cottrell Napa Placer Jim Holmes Solano Mitch Mashburn James Gore Sonoma

Public Comment

None

Consent Agenda

a. January 17, 2024 Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

GSCA Chair, Supervisor Jack Garamendi, Calaveras County, called for approval of the above-listed consent agenda items.

Supervisor Chris Lopez, Monterey County, motioned to approve the consent agenda items. Supervisor Rex Bohn, Humboldt County, seconded the motion. Motion passed with all Supervisors present voting "Aye," except:

Not voting: Butte County

GSCA Chair's Report

GSCA Chair, Supervisor Jack Garamendi, Calaveras County, welcomed supervisors to the March GSCA Board of Directors meeting. Supervisor Garamendi recognized the effort and detailed work invested in GSCA's initiatives.

Legislative and Regulatory Advocacy Update

Tracy Rhine, RCRC Senior Policy Advocate, provided a legislative and regulatory advocacy update. Ms. Rhine discussed the changes in the state's Middle Mile Broadband Initiative, the increased legislative oversight of SB 156 implementation, and the dismal outlook regarding broadband policy for the year.

Broadband Program Update

Barbara Hayes, RCRC Chief Economic Development Officer, provided a program update, covering topics such as the broadband infrastructure project and its implementation timeline, offering a comprehensive overview of the project calendar. Ms. Hayes also noted the recent adoption of GSCA Resolution 24-02 by the GSCA Executive Committee.

^{*}Attendance via Zoom

AdjournmentGSCA Chair, Supervisor Jack Garamendi, Calaveras County, adjourned the meeting of the GSCA Board of Directors at 2:25 p.m.





To: Members of the GSCA Board of Directors

From: Milena De Melo, RCRC Finance Director

Date: May 7, 2024

Re: Approval of Audited Financial Statements – December 31, 2023 – **ACTION**

Summary

Attached are the Golden State Connect Authority (GSCA) audited financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2023, issued by Moss Adams LLP. The financial statements contain an unmodified ("clean") audit opinion. The auditors also issued a communication letter to Those Charged with Governance as required by auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America as well as Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. In summary, the communication states that there were no significant matters identified during the audit, nor were any audit adjustments made to the original trial balance prepared by management.

The financial statements and communication letter to Those Charged with Governance provide information useful to Executive Committee members in exercising their fiduciary responsibility acting in their capacity as the Audit Committee. Moss Adams presented the financial statements to the Executive Committee on April 24th and addressed their questions. The Executive Committee reviewed and approved the financial statements and communications letter acting in their capacity as the Audit Committee and have asked staff to present the financial statements to the GSCA Board of Directors for their review and approval.

Recommendation

It is recommended that the GSCA Board of Directors review and approve the 2023 audited financial statements and communication letter as presented.

Attachments

- 2023 Audited Financial Statements
- Communications to Those Charged with Governance



Report of Independent Auditors and Financial Statements

Golden State Connect Authority

December 31, 2023



Table of Contents

	Page
Management's Discussion and Analysis	1
Report of Independent Auditors	5
Basic Financial Statements	
Statement of Net Deficit	9
Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Deficit	10
Statement of Cash Flows	11
Notes to Basic Financial Statements	12
Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	15



This section presents management's discussion and analysis of Golden State Connect Authority's (GSCA) financial performance for the year ended December 31, 2023. Please read it in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto, which follow this section.

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

Total liabilities of GSCA exceeded its assets at December 31, 2023 by \$184,394 (net deficit). GSCA's total net deficit increased by \$176,618 (2271.3%) from December 31, 2022 to December 31, 2023.

OVERVIEW OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

GSCA's basic financial statements include the (1) statement of net deficit, (2) statement of revenues, expenses, and changes in net deficit, (3) statement of cash flows, and (4) notes to basic financial statements, which explain in more detail some of the information in the financial statements.

GSCA's financial statements report information about GSCA using accounting methods similar to those used by private sector companies. These statements offer short-term and long-term financial information about its activities. The statement of net position includes all of GSCA's operating assets and liabilities and provides information about the nature and amounts of investments in resources (assets) and the obligations to GSCA's creditors (liabilities). The assets and liabilities are presented in a classified format, which distinguishes between current and long-term assets and liabilities.

All the expenses for 2023 are accounted for in the statement of revenues, expenses, and changes in net deficit. This statement measures the success of GSCA's operations over the year and can be used to determine whether GSCA has successfully recovered all its costs through the services it provides.

The statement of cash flows provides information about GSCA's cash receipts and cash payments during the reporting period. The statement reports cash receipts, cash payments, and net changes in cash resulting from operating, investing, noncapital financing, and financing activities.

The notes to basic financial statements provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of GSCA's financial statements.

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

Condensed Statement of Net Deficit December 31, 2023 and 2022

			Increase (De	crease)
	2023	2022	\$	%
Assets				
Current assets	\$ 2,569,132	\$ 46,750	\$ 2,522,382	5395.5%
Total assets	\$ 2,569,132	\$ 46,750	\$ 2,522,382	5395.5%
Liabilities				
Current liabilities	\$ 3,526	\$ 54,526	\$ (51,000)	-93.5%
Non-current liabilities	2,750,000	<u> </u>	2,750,000	100.0%
Total liabilities	2,753,526	54,526	2,699,000	4949.9%
Net deficit				
Unrestricted	(184,394)	(7,776)	(176,618)	2271.3%
Total net deficit	\$ (184,394)	\$ (7,776)	\$ (176,618)	2271.3%

The condensed statement of net deficit reflects a snapshot of GSCA's financial position at a given moment in time. Changes in net deficit over time are an indicator of whether the financial condition of GSCA is improving or declining. At December 31, 2023, GSCA's net deficit was \$184,394, an increase of \$176,618 (2271.3%) from December 31, 2022. Total assets increased by \$2,522,382 (5395.5%) primarily due to an increase in grants receivable. Total liabilities increased by \$2,699,000 (4949.9%) compared to December 31, 2022, primarily due to an increase in note payable to affiliated entity.

Condensed Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Deficit Year ended December 31, 2023 and 2022

			Increase (D	Decrease)	
	2023	2022	\$	%	
Operating revenues					
Contribution from affiliated entity	\$ 1,548,535	\$ 183,900	\$ 1,364,635	742.1%	
Other operating revenue	2,457,580	-	2,457,580	100.0%	
Total operating revenues	4,006,115	183,900	3,822,215	2078.4%	
Operating expenses					
Contract service fee to affiliated entity	1,534,600	183,900	1,350,700	734.5%	
Other operating expenses	2,648,133	7,776	2,640,357	33955.2%	
Total operating expenses	4,182,733	191,676	3,991,057	2082.2%	
Change in net deficit	(176,618)	(7,776)	(168,842)	2171.3%	
Net deficit, beginning of year	(7,776)	-	(7,776)	-100.0%	
Net deficit, end of year	\$ (184,394)	\$ (7,776)	\$ (176,618)	2271.3%	

The condensed statement of revenues, expenses, and changes in net deficit reflects activity that has occurred during the period of time covered by this report. For the year ended December 31, 2023, GSCA experienced a decrease in net position of \$176,618. Total operating revenues increased by \$3,822,215 (2078.4%) primarily due to an increased contribution received from an affiliated entity and grant revenues from the California Public Utilities Commission's (CPUC) Local Agency Technical Assistance (LATA) grant program for reimbursement of costs paid to contractors working on network design and engineering services associated with the LATA grant program. Total operating expenses increased by \$3,991,057 (2082.2%) primarily due to increased contract service fee paid to an affiliated entity, consultants utilized for the LATA program of work, and short term computer software license purchased for LATA grant administration.

BUDGETARY COMPARISON

Comparison of Budget and Actual Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position (Deficit) Year Ended December 31, 2023

	o	riginal	Rev	vised		Actual Over Revised B	,	
		Budget	Bu	ıdget	Actual	\$	%	
Operating revenues								
Contribution from affiliated antity	\$	945,900	\$ 1,	070,800	\$ 1,548,535	\$ 477,735	4	14.6%
Grant revenue - LATA	1	1,652,900	17,	100,000	2,457,580	(14,642,420)	-8	35.6%
Total operating revenues	1	2,598,800	18,	170,800	4,006,115	(14,164,685)	-7	78.0%
Operating expenses								
Contract service fee to affiliated entity		1,287,100	1,	534,600	1,534,600	-		0.0%
Other operating expenses	1	1,311,700	16,	636,200	2,648,133	(13,988,067)	-8	34.1%
Total operating expenses	1	2,598,800	18,	170,800	4,182,733	(13,988,067)		77.0%
Change in net position (deficit)	\$		\$		\$ (176,618)	\$ (176,618)	-10	00.0%

The comparison of budget and actual revenues, expenses, and changes in net position (deficit) was unfavorable by \$176,618 (100.0%) primarily due to lower than budgeted operating revenues and operating expenses. Total operating revenues were lower than the budget by \$14,164,685 (78.0%) primarily due to lower grant revenues from LATA offset by higher than budgeted contribution from an affiliated entity. Total operating expenses were lower than the budget by \$13,988,067 (77.0%), primarily due to lower than budgeted consultants working on network design and engineering services associated with the LATA grant program, offset by higher short term computer software license purchased for LATA grant administration.

FUTURE ECONOMIC OUTLOOK

GSCA's 2024 board-approved budget includes costs associated with implementation of the CPUC LATA grant program (Note 1). GSCA's budgeted outlook anticipates the most significant costs to be incurred for consultants and contract service fee paid to Rural County Representatives of California (RCRC). Reimbursement of administrative costs associated with contract management and grant administration of 40 LATA awards to member counties, and several cities therein, will result in revenue for GSCA in 2024 of approximately \$488.9 thousand.

As of December 2023, GSCA has up to a \$4,250,000 million cash advance available from Golden State Finance Authority (GSFA), an affiliated entity for future operations and programs and approximately \$111,000 in cash. The note payable to GSFA is expected to be fully repaid through reimbursements associated with the CPUC LATA grant program.

REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of GSCA's finances for all those with an interest. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this report or requests for additional information should be addressed to the Chief Financial Officer, 1215 K Street, Suite 1650, Sacramento, CA, 95814.



Report of Independent Auditors

The Board of Directors
Golden State Connect Authority

Report on the Audit of the Financial Statements

Opinion

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Golden State Connect Authority (GSCA), which comprise the statement of net deficit as of December 31, 2023, and the related statements of revenues, expenses, and changes in net deficit, and cash flows for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of GSCA as of December 31, 2023, and the respective changes in its net deficit and its cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Basis for Opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS) and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* (*Government Auditing Standards*), issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements section of our report. We are required to be independent of GSCA and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Responsibilities of Management for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial statements, management is required to evaluate whether there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about GSCA's ability to continue as a going concern for twelve months beyond the financial statement date, including any currently known information that may raise substantial doubt shortly thereafter.

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS and *Government Auditing Standards* will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Misstatements are considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, they would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user based on the financial statements.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS and Government Auditing Standards, we

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to
 fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures
 include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial
 statements.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures
 that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the
 effectiveness of GSCA's internal control. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluate the overall presentation of the financial statements.
- Conclude whether, in our judgment, there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about GSCA's ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit, significant audit findings, and certain internal control–related matters that we identified during the audit.

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis on pages 1 through 4 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report April 19, 2024, on our consideration of GSCA's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of GSCA's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering GSCA's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Sacramento, California

Moss Adams UP

April 19, 2024



Golden State Connect Authority Statement of Net Deficit December 31, 2023

	ASSETS	
Current assets Cash Grants receivable Total assets		\$ 111,552 2,457,580 2,569,132
	LIABILITIES	
Current liabilities Accounts payable to affiliated entity Total current liabilities		\$ 3,526 3,526
Non-current liabilities Note payable to affiliated entity Total non-current liabilities		2,750,000 2,750,000
Total liabilities		\$ 2,753,526
	NET DEFICIT	
Net deficit Unrestricted Total net deficit		\$ (184,394) (184,394)

Golden State Connect Authority Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Deficit Year Ended December 31, 2023

Operating revenues	
Contribution from affiliated entity	\$ 1,548,535
Grant revenue - LATA	2,457,580
Total operating revenues	4,006,115
Operating expenses	
Accounting and auditing	7,000
Bank fees	190
Computer software license	162,250
Consultants	1,050
Consultants - LATA	2,457,580
Contract support service fee	1,534,600
Dues, fees and subscriptions	3,053
Insurance	1,810
Legal fees	2,058
Printing and duplication	303
Rent	12,000
Travel	147
Travel - board member reimbursement	692
Total operating expenses	4,182,733
Change in net deficit	 (176,618)
Net deficit, beginning of year	 (7,776)
Net deficit, end of year	\$ (184,394)

Golden State Connect Authority Statement of Cash Flows Year Ended December 31, 2023

Cash flows from operating activities	
Cash paid for services and supplies	\$ (2,638,448)
Net cash used in operating activities	(2,638,448)
Cash flows from financing activities	
Proceeds from issuance of note payable to affiliated entity	 2,750,000
Cash flows provided by financing activities	 2,750,000
Increase in cash	111,552
Cash, beginning of year	
Cash, end of year	\$ 111,552
	<u> </u>
Reconciliation of change in net deficit to net cash used in operating activities:	
Change in net deficit	\$ (176,618)
Adjustment to reconcile change in net deficit to net cash used in	
operating activities:	
Change in liabilities	
Increase in grants receivable	(2,457,580)
Decrease in prepaid assets	46,750
Decrease in accounts payable	(54,526)
Increase in accounts payable to affiliated entity	3,526
Net cash used in operating activities	\$ (2,638,448)
Supplemental data on non cash activities	
Contribution from affiliated entity	\$ 1,548,535
Contract service fee to affiliated entity	\$ (1,534,600)
Dues, fees and subscriptions	\$ (125)
Insurance	\$ (1,810)
Rent	\$ (12,000)

Golden State Connect Authority Notes to Basic Financial Statements

Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Reporting entity — Golden State Connect Authority (GSCA), a California joint powers authority, is an organization of certain political subdivisions of the state of California, engaged in the exercise of essential government functions. GSCA was organized on August 18, 2021, under the provisions of the Government Code of the state of California, which authorized GSCA to be created by the joint powers agreement entered into by counties, the purpose of which is to make reliable and adequate communications services and connectivity available for the benefit of rural communities, businesses, and residents, including establishing and operating programs and projects to facilitate provision and expansion of broadband internet access service and related telecommunications services in rural communities, and directly providing such services in substantially the same manner as a municipal utility. GSCA is governed by representatives of its member counties which are counties in California having an interest in the general and specific purposes of GSCA, each of which appoints an elected county supervisor, which comprises the Board of Directors. The 40 member counties of GSCA are as follows: Alpine, Amador, Butte, Calaveras, Colusa, Del Norte, El Dorado, Glenn, Humboldt, Imperial, Inyo, Kings, Lake, Lassen, Madera, Mariposa, Mendocino, Merced, Modoc, Mono, Monterey, Napa, Nevada, Placer, Plumas, San Benito, San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Shasta, Sierra, Siskiyou, Solano, Sonoma, Sutter, Tehama, Trinity, Tulare, Tuolumne, Yolo, and Yuba.

GSCA is partnering with member counties and cities within those counties to participate in the California Public Utilities Commission's (CPUC) Local Agency Technical Assistance (LATA) grant program. LATA provides funding for local government agencies to support broadband planning and related activities, including broadband network design. Under the partnership approach, each participating county or city is awarded \$500,000 in LATA funds to pay for network design and engineering within that jurisdiction. The county or city then enters into a Memorandum of Understanding Regarding Implementation of Local Agency Technical Assistance Grant with GSCA to secure performance of those services. GSCA subcontracts with third parties to provide the actual designs.

Basis of accounting – GSCA is accounted for as an enterprise fund and its financial statements are prepared on the accrual basis of accounting. Under this method, revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when liabilities are incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows.

GSCA distinguishes operating revenues and expenses from nonoperating items. Operating revenues and expenses generally result from providing services in connection with GSCA's principal ongoing operations. Outside of contributions from Rural County Representatives of California (RCRC) and LATA grant funds, there were no other operating revenues as of December 31, 2023. Operating expenses of GSCA include the cost of services and administrative expenses. All revenues and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as nonoperating revenue and expense.

Accounting standards – The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted standard-setting body for establishing government accounting and financial reporting principles. The more significant of GSCA's accounting policies are described below.

Cash – GSCA considers demand deposits balances to be cash.

Golden State Connect Authority Notes to Basic Financial Statements

Net position (deficit) - Net position (deficit) is classified into the following categories:

- Net investment in capital assets: Capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation and outstanding
 principal balances of debt attributable to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of those
 assets; GSCA does not have any net position in this category as of December 31, 2023.
- Restricted nonexpendable: Net position subject to externally imposed conditions that GSCA retains in perpetuity; GSCA does not have any net position in this category as of December 31, 2023.
- Restricted expendable: Net position subject to externally imposed conditions that can be fulfilled
 by the actions of GSCA or by the passage of time; GSCA does not have any net position in this
 category as of December 31, 2023.
- *Unrestricted:* All other categories of net position or deficit; in addition, unrestricted net position may be designated for use by management or the Board of Directors.

GSCA has adopted a policy of generally utilizing restricted – expendable funds prior to unrestricted funds when an expenditure is incurred for purposes for which both are available.

Income taxes – Because GSCA is a political subdivision of the state of California and engaged in the exercise of an essential government function, it is not required to file federal or state income tax returns.

Contribution from affiliated entity – For the year ended December 31, 2023, GSCA received donated services from RCRC for the value of contract service fee and other expenses it would have otherwise paid in the amount of \$1,548,535.

Grant Revenue – LATA – LATA funding is provided on a reimbursement basis. GSCA must initially incur the expense of compensating the subcontracted third parties prior to receiving reimbursement of awarded grant funds from the CPUC.

Use of estimates – The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Note 2 - Cash

Deposits – custodial credit risk – Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, GSCA's deposits may not be returned to it. Cash consists of deposits with financial institutions. As of December 31, 2023, GSNR's deposits with financial institutions stated at \$111,552 are entirely insured or collateralized. Section 53652 of the California Governmental Code requires financial institutions to secure deposits made by governmental units in excess of insured amounts by the pledging of governmental securities as collateral. The market value of the pledged securities in the collateral pool must be equal to at least 110% of the total amount deposited by governmental units.

Golden State Connect Authority Notes to Basic Financial Statements

Note 3 - Note Payable To An Affiliated Entity

On December 7, 2022, GSCA entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with Golden State Finance Authority (GSFA), an affiliated entity, whereby GSFA is to provide an advance of up to \$2.0 million to GSCA, to be used by GSCA to pay for the costs of network design and engineering services associated with the LATA grant program. No interest will accrue or be owed by GSCA to GSFA under this MOU. On March 8, 2023, both Board of Directors of GSFA and GSCA approved a proposed increase in the advance from \$2.0 million to \$7.0 million, to be used by GSCA to pay for the costs of network design and engineering services associated with the LATA grant program. At December 31, 2023, total advances were \$2,750,000.

Note 4 – Related–Party Transactions

For the year ended December 31, 2023, GSCA maintained a contract support services agreement with RCRC, an affiliated entity, that included support services payments. The total expense of \$1,534,600 was recorded for the year ended December 31, 2023. The support services agreement calls for a flat fee of \$127,883 a month to operate and administer GSCA operations. As GSCA was still in the development stage and had no sources of revenue, other than grant funds in 2023, the agreement allows for RCRC to donate the services to GSCA thus resulting in a contribution to GSCA.

Note 5 – Risk Management

GSCA is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; and natural disasters. RCRC, an affiliated entity, purchases commercial insurance through an insurance agent that obtains the appropriate insurance coverage needed from insurance companies, which includes coverage for GSCA. There has been no significant reduction in coverage and there have been no settlement amounts that have exceeded commercial insurance coverage in the last two years.

Note 6 - Commitments, Contingencies, and Subsequent Events

GSCA is subject to legal proceedings and claims which arise in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the amount of ultimate liability with respect to such actions will not materially affect the financial position or results of operations of GSCA.

Management has evaluated subsequent events and transactions that occurred after April 19, 2024, up to the date that the financial statements were available to be issued. Based upon this review, GSCA management did not identify any subsequent events that would have required adjustment or disclosure in the financial statements.



Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*

The Board of Directors
Golden State Connect Authority

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of Golden State Connect Authority (GSCA) which comprise the statement of net deficit as of December 31, 2023, and the related statements of revenues, expenses, and changes in net deficit and cash flows for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements GSCA, which collectively comprise GSCA's financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated April 19, 2024.

Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered GSCA's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of GSCA's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of GSCA's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified.

Report on Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether GSCA's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of GSCA's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering GSCA's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Sacramento, California

Moss Adams IIP

April 19, 2024





Communication with Those Charged with Governance

Golden State Connect Authority

December 31, 2023





Communication with Those Charged with Governance

To the Board of Directors
Golden State Connect Authority

We have audited the financial statements of Golden State Connect Authority (GSCA) as of and for the year ended December 31, 2023, and have issued our report thereon dated April 19, 2024. Professional standards require that we provide you with the following information related to our audit.

Our Responsibility Under Auditing Standards Generally Accepted in the United States of America and Government Auditing Standards

As stated in our engagement letter dated December 11, 2023, we are responsible for forming and expressing an opinion about whether the financial statements that have been prepared by management, with your oversight, are prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our audit of the financial statements does not relieve you or management of your responsibilities.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAS) and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States of America. As part of an audit conducted in accordance with U.S. GAAS and *Government Auditing Standards*, we exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.

An audit of financial statements includes consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of GSCA's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we considered GSCA's internal control solely for the purposes of determining our audit procedures and not to provide assurance concerning such internal control.

We are also responsible for communicating significant matters related to the financial statement audit that, in our professional judgment, are relevant to your responsibilities in overseeing the financial reporting process. However, we are not required to design procedures for the purpose of identifying other matters to communicate to you.

Planned Scope and Timing of the Audit

We performed the audit according to the planned scope and timing previously communicated to you in the engagement letter and during planning discussions.

Significant Audit Findings and Issues

Qualitative Aspects of Accounting Practices

Management is responsible for the selection and use of appropriate accounting policies. The significant accounting policies used by GSCA are described in Note 1 to the financial statements. No new accounting policies were adopted and there were no changes in the application of existing policies during 2023. We noted no transactions entered into by GSCA during the year for which there is a lack of authoritative guidance or consensus. There are no significant transactions that have been recognized in the financial statements in a different period than when the transaction occurred.

Significant Accounting Estimates

Accounting estimates are an integral part of the financial statements prepared by management and are based on management's knowledge and experience about past and current events and assumptions about future events. Certain accounting estimates are particularly sensitive because of their significance to the financial statements and because of the possibility that future events affecting them may differ significantly from those expected. There were no sensitive estimates affecting the financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2023.

Financial Statement Disclosures

The disclosures in the financial statements are consistent, clear, and understandable. Certain financial statement disclosures are particularly sensitive because of their significance to financial statement users. The most sensitive disclosures affecting the financial statements were:

- Disclosure of the reporting entity and its operations, basis of accounting, and summary of significant accounting policies are described in Note 1.
- Disclosure of the note payable to an affiliate entity as described in Note 3.

Significant Unusual Transactions

We encountered no significant unusual transactions during our audit of GSCA's financial statements.

Significant Difficulties Encountered in Performing the Audit

Professional standards require us to inform you of any significant difficulties encountered in performing the audit. No significant difficulties were encountered during our audit of GSCA's financial statements.

Disagreements with Management

For purposes of this letter, professional standards define a disagreement with management as a financial accounting, reporting, or auditing matter, whether or not resolved to our satisfaction, that could be significant to the financial statements or the auditor's report. No such disagreements arose during the course of our audit.

Circumstances that Affect the Form and Content of the Auditor's Report

There may be circumstances in which we would consider it necessary to include additional information in the auditor's report in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Audit Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. There were no circumstances that affected the form and content of the auditor's report.

Uncorrected Misstatements

Professional standards require us to accumulate all factual and judgmental misstatements identified during the audit, other than those that are trivial, and communicate them to the appropriate level of management. There were no uncorrected misstatements identified as a result of our audit procedures as of and for the year ended December 31, 2023.

Management Representations

We have requested certain representations from management that are included in the management representation letter dated April 19, 2024.

Management Consultation with Other Independent Accountants

In some cases, management may decide to consult with other accountants about auditing and accounting matters, similar to obtaining a "second opinion" on certain situations. If a consultation involves application of an accounting principle to GSCA's financial statements or a determination of the type of auditor's opinion that may be expressed on those statements, our professional standards require the consulting accountant to check with us to determine that the consultant has all the relevant facts. To our knowledge, there were no such consultations with other accountants.

Other Significant Audit Findings or Issues

We are required to communicate to you other findings or issues arising from the audit that are, in our professional judgment, significant and relevant to your oversight of the financial reporting process. There were no such items identified.

This information is intended solely for the use of the Board of Directors and management of Golden State Connect Authority, and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Sacramento, California

Moss Adams HP

April 19, 2024



To: Members of the GSCA Board of Directors

From: Tracy Rhine, RCRC Senior Policy Advocate

Date: May 7, 2024

Re: Legislative and Regulatory Advocacy Update

Summary

This memo provides an update on legislative and regulatory activities related to telecommunication policy.

Regulatory Activity

RCRC is engaged in broadband implementation activities at the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) and the California Department of Technology (CDT).

Broadband Equity, Access, and Deployment (BEAD) Program Proceeding

RCRC is actively involved in the CPUC proceeding to implement the BEAD Program, created by the federal Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA) of 2021. California will receive \$1.8 billion in BEAD funding, estimated to be available for award mid-2024. The BEAD program directs the state to first prioritize projects to service unserved locations determined by FCC National Broadband maps, then underserved locations, and then projects connecting eligible community anchor institutions that lack access to gigabit-level broadband service, such as schools, libraries, and healthcare facilities. Through this proceeding, the CPUC is seeking input to develop rules where they have the discretion to do so, such as criteria to ultimately select subgrantees.

On December 27, 2023, the CPUC submitted its draft Initial Proposal to the National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA)—divided into a Volume 1 and Volume 2. Volume 1 centers around the challenge process and contains proposed rules to determine eligible locations and how eligible entities may challenge the current eligibility of locations. Volume 2 describes the subgrantee selection process and the proposed competitive process to select subgrantees to construct BEAD projects. On April 4, 2024, NTIA approved Volume 1 and the CPUC released its Proposed Decision, which may be heard, at the earliest, at the CPUC's May 9th meeting.

The CPUC will be holding a Webinar on April 24th on the NTIA Model BEAD Challenge process. The Challenge Process is the process for submitting data that a broadband serviceable location should or should not be designated as served, unserved, or underserved for the purpose of BEAD funding eligibility.

Legislative Activity

The state budget process is in full swing in the Legislature, as budget subcommittees, using the Governor's January Proposed Budget as a foundation, review the merits of increased appropriations, as well as funding reductions.

On April 11, 2024, in an effort to make early strides on reducing the budget deficient, the Legislature passed \$17 billion in cuts, deferrals and shifts in a Budget Bill Junior, Assembly Bill 106.

Part of the agreement between the Legislature and the Governor, represented in Budget Bill Junior, includes a decrease in funding to the Loan Loss Reserve program of \$150 million in prior year funding. According to information published by the Legislative Analyst's Office in February, this reduction leaves \$25 million in available funding for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023-24, with a projected \$300 million, if appropriated in the Budget, for FY 2024-25.

This is a slight change from the Governor's Proposed Budget released in January which proposed a reduction of \$250 million (\$150 million in FY 2024-25 and \$100 million in FY 2025-26), maintaining, \$500 million for the program, with \$175 million General Fund in FY 2023-24, \$150 million in FY 2024-25, and \$175 million in FY 2025-26.

The Legislature is continuing to have discussions on the Governor's proposal to allocate an additional \$1.5 billion to the Middle Mile Broadband Initiative.

Attachment

 Legislative Analyst's Office, The 2024-25 Budget: Broadband Infrastructure, April 28, 2024



The 2024-25 Budget:

Broadband Infrastructure

GABRIEL PETEK | LEGISLATIVE ANALYST | FEBRUARY 2024

SUMMARY

Legislative Oversight Crucial as Broadband Infrastructure Programs and Projects Move to Implementation. Many of the federal and state broadband infrastructure programs and projects—especially the state's middle-mile network and Federal Funding Account (FFA) grant program, as well as the federal Broadband Equity, Access, and Deployment (BEAD) program—are finishing their planning activities and moving into implementation. Billions of dollars will be encumbered and expended over the next few fiscal years. To achieve the Legislature's goals in Chapter 112 of 2021 (SB 156, Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review) and other broadband infrastructure legislation, legislative oversight of the administration's implementation of these programs and projects will be critical to their success.

Recommend Approving Anticipated \$250 Million Appropriation in 2024-25 for Middle-Mile Network. We recommend the Legislature approve the \$250 million General Fund included in the California Department of Technology's (CDT's) operating budget in 2024-25 for the middle-mile network, which is consistent with the Legislature's uncodified but stated goal in Chapter 48 of 2022 (SB 189, Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review). However, given the lack of critical information about middle-mile network construction, we recommend the Legislature adopt budget bill language that conditions this funding on more information about standalone California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) construction projects as well as other information necessary for legislative oversight of middle-mile network implementation.

Recommend Rejecting Additional \$1.5 Billion—\$250 Million in 2024-25, \$1.25 Billion in 2025-26—for Middle-Mile Network. We also recommend the Legislature reject the additional \$1.5 billion General Fund proposed in the Governor's budget, as well as the proposed budget bill language. The fiscal pressure facing the state does not support the General Fund investment in this proposal, particularly when more detailed information needed for legislative oversight is unavailable and some alternative funding sources may be explored. We raise no concerns with the positions requested by CDT, however, to support its middle-mile network implementation.

Recommend Consideration of Additional Budget Solutions. While we recommend approval of the proposed delay of \$100 million General Fund of FFA grant program funding for last-mile project grants (more reasonably considered as a reduction) and proposed reductions to the Loan Loss Reserve Fund (LLRF), the Legislature could consider additional budget solutions. Given the deterioration in the state's budget, additional solutions would help the Legislature close the deficit. Specifically, up to \$550 million General Fund could be reduced from proposed or scheduled appropriations for last-mile project grants given the availability in 2024-25 of \$1.86 billion in federal BEAD program funding. The Legislature could also consider additional reductions of up to \$500 million General Fund in LLRF support.

BACKGROUND

BROADBAND

Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Provides Broadband Definition. The FCC defines broadband as high-speed Internet access that provides a minimum of 25 Megabits per second (Mbps) download speed and 3 Mbps upload speed. (Mbps measures how much data can be downloaded or uploaded over a network connection.) Internet access at these speeds allows consumers to use the Internet for a variety of activities such as accessing information, studying online, and working remotely. (Other factors such as latency—how long it takes data to travel—and reliability—how long a connection is maintained—also affect whether consumers can access Internet service at these speeds.)

Many Areas and Households Lack Broadband

Access. Unserved areas and households are generally defined as those without access to broadband (as defined by the FCC). (In this brief, we use the terms households and locations interchangeably.) Underserved areas and households can be defined as those with access to broadband but without access to broadband at faster Mbps download and upload speeds with higher reliability and/or lower latency. Federal and state broadband programs define unserved and underserved in different ways using various criteria. All of the major federal and state programs, however, estimate that there are hundreds of thousands of households in areas of the state without broadband access. For major federal and state programs discussed later in the brief, we describe relevant differences in the criteria and definitions used and provide the estimated number of unserved and/or underserved calculated for each program.

BROADBAND INFRASTRUCTURE

Components of Broadband Infrastructure.

Broadband infrastructure allows Internet service providers (ISPs) and other entities to offer high-speed Internet access to areas, households, and institutions. This infrastructure can be categorized into three main groups based on distance covered, from longest to shortest distance:

- Backbone or Long-Haul. Backbone or long-haul broadband infrastructure often uses high-capacity fiber-optic cables laid over hundreds or thousands of miles to connect different countries, states, and/or regions to the Internet.
- Middle-Mile. Middle-mile broadband infrastructure also often consists of fiber-optic cables laid over tens or hundreds of miles that, once connected to by an ISP or other entity, can deliver Internet access.
- Last-Mile. Last-mile broadband infrastructure relies on antennae, cables, poles, wires, and other components to connect middle-mile broadband infrastructure to individual households or institutions in a community.

MAJOR FEDERAL AND STATE BROADBAND PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS

Three Major State Broadband Infrastructure Programs and Projects. In recent years, the state has initiated a few significant programs related to broadband, in part due to funding provided by the federal government. There are currently three major state broadband infrastructure programs and projects underway pursuant to Chapter 112 of 2021 (SB 156, Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review):

• Statewide Open-Access Middle-Mile Network. The state is building, leasing, and purchasing middle-mile broadband infrastructure to create a statewide, open-access middle-mile network deployed primarily along the state's highways and other rights of way. (This project is also referred to as the Middle-Mile Broadband Initiative or MMBI.) This network is expected to be available to all public entities, ISPs, nonprofit organizations, and other entities to connect

to and deliver high-speed Internet access. Connecting entities will likely pay fees to the state to maintain, operate, and repair the network. These fees will then be deposited into the State Middle-Mile Broadband Enterprise Fund. This project is led by the California Department of Technology (CDT) and its third-party administrator, GoldenStateNet (GSN), in consultation with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) and the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans).

- Last-Mile Project Grants. The state is providing grants to ISPs, public entities, nonprofit organizations, and others for last-mile broadband infrastructure projects. These grants are awarded by CPUC through several California Advanced Services Fund (CASF) program accounts (described in a nearby box) as well as the Federal Funding Account (FFA).
 - » CASF Program Accounts. CASF program accounts are funded by a surcharge rate on revenues collected by telecommunications
- companies from end-users of intrastate telecommunications services. State law allows CPUC to collect up to \$150 million in surcharge revenues each year until December 31, 2032. Projects that intend to serve unserved households (that is, those without access to broadband as defined by the FCC) are eligible for CASF program account grants. Projects that intend to serve priority unserved households—that is, unserved households with no Internet access or with Internet access at or below a 10 Mbps download speed and 1 Mbps upload speed—often receive grant awards before projects serving other unserved households. Based on CASF program account definitions and eligibility criteria, the number of eligible priority unserved households in California is about 545,000 and the number of remaining eligible unserved households is about 360,000.
- » FFA. Senate Bill 156 originally created the FFA to receive federal American Rescue Plan (ARP) fiscal relief funds allocated for last-mile broadband infrastructure projects.

California Advanced Services Fund (CASF) Program Accounts

The CASF program accounts administered by the California Public Utilities Commission support various broadband infrastructure-related activities, focusing on last-mile project grants. These accounts include:

Broadband Adoption Account. This account provides grants to public entities, nonprofit organizations, and others to increase access to digital literacy programs and publicly available broadband.

Broadband Infrastructure Account. This account provides middle- and last-mile broadband infrastructure project grants and loans. This account includes a line-extension program to offset the costs of connecting individual households or properties to certain broadband providers.

Broadband Public Housing Account. This account provides grants and loans to publicly subsidized multifamily housing developments to finance broadband adoption and infrastructure projects.

Rural and Urban Regional Broadband Consortia Grant Account. This account funds grants that assist eligible consortium grant applicants (that is, representatives from organizations that are organized by geography and/or region) with planning broadband infrastructure projects and completing associated grant application processes.

Tribal Technical Assistance Account. This account provides tribes with technical assistance in the development of business plans, feasibility studies, and market studies in support of their broadband efforts.

However, as we describe in more detail later in the brief, the FFA is now mostly supported by the General Fund. Unserved households are eligible for FFA program grants, with the FFA program rules further defining unserved households as those in areas without a reliable cable or fiber connection to a broadband provider. (Technologies such as fixed wireless and satellite internet services are examples of broadband offerings that do not require a direct cable or fiber connection.) Based on FFA definitions and eligibility criteria, the number of eligible unserved households in California is around 1 million.

Loan Loss Reserve Fund (LLRF). The LLRF
will provide local government entities, nonprofit
organizations, and tribes with grants to help
them obtain financing for last-mile projects
through, for example, establishing and funding
reserves and paying the costs of debt issuance
for broadband infrastructure projects.

Three Federal Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA) Broadband Infrastructure Programs Key to Major State Programs and Projects. The IIJA provides \$65 billion in federal funding for broadband infrastructure projects nationwide. Three of the programs created with this funding by the National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA) directly relate to the three major state broadband infrastructure programs and projects:

· Broadband Equity, Access, and **Deployment (BEAD) Program.** The BEAD program received \$42.45 billion of the \$65 billion total, most of which is to provide states and other jurisdictions with funding for last-mile broadband infrastructure projects. (Some funding can be used for middle-mile broadband infrastructure if required to connect a last-mile project.) Both unserved and underserved households are eligible for grants made with BEAD program funding. Underserved households are defined as those without broadband service that offers a 100 Mbps download speed and 20 Mbps upload speed. Also, households are defined as unserved and/or underserved at their

- respective speeds if they do not meet certain latency requirements. Based on federal BEAD program definitions and eligibility criteria, the current number of eligible unserved households in the state is about 305,000 and the current number of eligible underserved households in the state is about 155,000.
- *Digital Equity Act Programs*. The Digital Equity Act programs received \$2.75 billion nationwide for three grant programs to improve digital equity across specific populations such as low-income households, racial and ethnic minorities, and rural areas. Below are the three programs:
 - » State Digital Equity Planning Grant Program. This grant program received \$60 million to provide states with allocations to support their development of a digital equity plan, which will identify barriers to digital equity and plan how to address related outcomes across program areas such as education and health care.
 - » State Digital Equity Capacity Grant Program. This grant program received \$1.44 billion to provide states with annual allocations for five calendar years to support the implementation of their digital equity plans.
 - » Digital Equity Competitive Grant Program. This grant program received \$1.25 billion to provide applicants, including some state entities, with annual allocations for five calendar years to implement digital equity projects.
- Enabling Middle-Mile Broadband Infrastructure Program. This grant program received \$1 billion nationwide to provide funding for the acquisition, construction, or improvement of middle-mile broadband infrastructure.

CURRENT STATE BROADBAND SPENDING PLAN

Significant Spending Plan Changes From Original 2021 Agreement to 2023-24 Budget Act. Since the initial broadband infrastructure agreement between the administration and Legislature in July 2021,

there have been significant changes in the funding amounts, sources, and timing of the three major state programs and projects. For more information about these changes, see our March 20, 2023 brief-The 2023-24 Budget: Broadband Infrastructure—and the "Broadband Infrastructure" section of our November 8, 2023 post-The 2023-24 California Spending Plan: Other Provisions. Figure 1 provides the current spending plan for state broadband infrastructure programs and projects as of the 2023-24 Budget Act.

STATUS OF STATE BROADBAND PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS

Statewide Open-Access Middle-Mile Network

Total Estimated Length of Middle-Mile Network When Complete Now 10,513 Miles.

As of January 29, 2024, the total estimated length of the statewide open-access middle-mile network is 10,513 miles. Figure 2 provides a summary of the middle-mile network miles by delivery method. Leases and standalone Caltrans construction projects account for most of the network miles-8,616 miles or 82 percent of the total network. The remainder of the network miles are joint-build construction projects (that is, construction projects where the state is working with another party to build the network) and purchases. For more information about the number of miles in each county or legislative district and their delivery method, see CDT's Statewide Middle-Mile Network Map.

Figure 1

Broadband Infrastructure Spending Plan as of the 2023-24 Budget Act

(In Millions)

		Funding		
Program or Project	Fiscal Year	GF	FF	TF
Middle-Mile Network	Prior Years	\$887 ^a	\$2,363 ^b	\$3,250
	2023-24	300	73 ^c	373
	2024-25	250	_	250
	2025-26	_	_	_
	2026-27			
Subtotals		(\$1,437)	(\$2,436)	(\$3,873)
Last-Mile Projects Grants	Prior Years	\$647 ^d	\$550 ^e	\$1,197
	2023-24	253	_	253
	2024-25	200	_	200
	2025-26	200	_	200
	2026-27	150		150
Subtotals		(\$1,450)	(\$550)	(\$2,000)
LLRF	Prior Years	_	_	_
	2023-24	\$175	_	\$175
	2024-25	300	_	300
	2025-26	275	_	275
	2026-27			
Subtotals		(\$750)	(—)	(\$750)
All Programs and Projects	Prior Years	\$1,534	\$2,913	\$4,447
	2023-24	728	73	801
	2024-25	750	_	750
	2025-26	475	_	475
	2026-27	150	_	150
Totals		\$3,637	\$2,986	\$6,623

^a Pursuant to Control Section 11.96 of the 2022-23 Budget Act, the Department of Finance shifted \$887 million for the middle-mile network from ARP fiscal relief funds to GF in 2021-22.

GF = General Fund; FF = federal funds; TF = total funds; LLRF = Loan Loss Reserve Fund; ARP = American Rescue Plan; SB = Senate Bill; and IIJA = Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act.

Figure 2

Middle-Mile Network Miles by Delivery Methoda

Delivery Method	Number of Miles	Percent of Total Network Miles
Leases	4,584	44%
Caltrans Construction Projects	4,032	38
Joint-Build Construction Projects	1,420	14
Purchases	477	5
Total	10,513	
^a Data as of January 29, 2024.		
Caltrans = California Department of Transporta	tion.	

^b The remaining \$2.363 billion in FF for the middle-mile network in 2021-22 is state ARP fiscal relief funds.

^c Chapter 189 of 2023 (SB 104, Skinner) appropriated a \$73 million award of federal funds in 2023-24 from the IIJA's Enabling Middle Mile Broadband Infrastructure Program.

^d Pursuant to Control Section 11.96 of the 2022-23 Budget Act, the Department of Finance shifted \$522 million for last-mile projects from ARP fiscal relief funds to GF in 2021-22.

^e The remaining \$550 million in FF for last-mile project grants in 2021-22 is the state's allocation from the ARP's Coronavirus Capital Projects Fund.

Funding Encumbered for at Least 6,500

Miles. As of February 6, 2024, the total estimated number of middle-mile network miles with encumbered funding (that is, appropriated funds that are committed to an unfilled purchase order or unfulfilled contract) is at least 6,500 miles. It is our understanding from the administration that the amount of encumbered funding for joint-build construction projects, leases, and purchases (about 6,500 miles) is, in general, more precise than for the standalone Caltrans construction projects (about 1,800 miles). Caltrans is continuing to

finish the pre-construction work (that is, design, environmental, and permitting work) on many of its projects. Caltrans expects to complete this work by the end of 2024, after which contracts and purchase orders will be finalized and more specific amounts of funding will be encumbered. Therefore, while some number of miles for the standalone Caltrans construction projects have encumbered funding, the exact number of miles is uncertain.

Figure 3 provides a summary of the middle-mile network projects and related costs with at least some funding encumbered. The figure does not

Figure 3

Middle-Mile Network Projects With Encumbered Funding

			Estimated Cost	Encumbered Funding (In Millions)			
Project Name	Delivery Method	Miles	Miles (In Millions)		GF	FF	
CVIN	Lease	2,522	\$715	\$715	\$427	\$288	
Various	Standalone Caltrans Construction	1,800 ^a	950	500 ^b	_	500	
Lumen	Joint-Build	1,186	257	257	_	257	
Lumen	Lease	710	141	141	_	141	
Digital 395	Purchase	435	31	31	31	_	
Arcadian #1	Joint-Build	310	134	134	_	134	
Arcadian #2	Joint-Build	280	171	171	_	171	
Arcadian #3	Joint-Build	255	127	127	_	127	
Zayo	Joint-Build	193	13	13	_	13	
TPN	Lease	172	40	40	40	_	
Siskiyou Telephone Company	Joint-Build	165	52	52	_	52	
Arcadian #5	Joint-Build	117	38	38	_	38	
Boldyn	Lease	81	79	79	_	79	
Arcadian #4	Joint-Build	44	17	17	_	17	
Vero	Joint-Build	24	7	7	_	7	
Ноора	Joint-Build	23	10	10	_	10	
Subtotals		8,317	(\$2,782)	(\$2,332)	(\$498)	(\$1,834) ^c	

	Estimated Cost	Encumbered Funding (In Millions)			
Additional Costs	(In Millions)	TF	FF		
Administration	\$250	\$250	\$8	\$242	
Conduit, Fiber and Vaults ^d	234	234	172	62	
Electronics	215	_e	_	_	
Huts ^f	69	69	61	8	
Subtotals	(\$768)	(\$553)	(\$241)	(\$312)	
Totals	\$3,550	\$2,885	\$739	\$2,146	

^a The exact number of miles of standalone Caltrans construction projects that can be funded with the \$500 million in encumbered federal ARP fiscal relief funds is unknown. The 1,800-mile estimate also assumes at least \$450 million GF, all of which is appropriated yet unencumbered, as well as an anticipated appropriation of \$250 million GF in 2024-25.

TF = total funds; GF = General Fund; FF = federal funds; CVIN = Central Valley Independent Network; Caltrans = California Department of Transportation; IIJA = Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act; ARP = American Rescue Plan; and CDT = California Department of Technology.

^b The \$450 million difference between encumbered funding and the estimated cost of the standalone Caltrans construction projects is expected to be covered by appropriated yet unencumbered GF.

^c This FF subtotal does not include the \$73 million award of FF in 2023-24 from the IIJA's Enabling Middle-Mile Broadband Infrastructure Program.

d Conduit are physical tubes that encase fiber-optic cables. Vaults are protective shelters for network equipment that are close to the served area or household.

^e Bids for network electronics remain under review, so no funding is encumbered yet for these purchase orders. CDT expects to encumber appropriated ARP fiscal relief funds for these costs once bids are reviewed.

f Huts are protective shelters for network equipment used for middle-mile broadband infrastructure.

include about \$665 million (\$450 million General Fund and \$215 million federal ARP fiscal relief funds) in appropriated, yet unencumbered, funding.

Second Request for Innovative Ideas (RFI2) Process Underway. For the remaining 2,200 or so miles of standalone Caltrans construction projects. CDT issued a second RFI² solicitation that is, a procurement method that defines a department's business need or problem to solve and allows vendors to design their own solutions on November 9, 2023. The main purpose of this RFI² solicitation is to identify opportunities for joint-build construction projects, leases, and/or purchases in place of the remaining standalone Caltrans construction projects. This is because, in general, joint-build construction projects, leases, and/or purchases are less costly than standalone Caltrans construction projects. CDT also asked for ideas from vendors on the ongoing maintenance, management, and operation of the network. As of February 16, 2024, CDT received over 50 proposals from vendors. CDT expects to review these proposals over the next several months and incorporate any network changes (including in the length and number of standalone Caltrans construction projects) by mid-2024.

Additional Network Changes Based on Last-Mile Project Grant Application Reviews.

In addition to any changes from the RFI² process, CDT and CPUC's review of last-mile project grant applications from the federal BEAD program and state FFA also may change the length and number of standalone Caltrans construction projects (and possibly others). While federal BEAD program and state FFA funding will be used primarily for last-mile project grants, some of this funding can be used for middle-mile infrastructure for connection to a last-mile project. As we discuss in more detail later in the brief, CPUC is currently in the process of reviewing FFA grant applications. CDT, in coordination with CPUC, also reviews applications that expect to connect to the state's middle-mile network to determine whether current middle-mile network projects can connect to the proposed last-mile project. If not, for last-mile projects awarded FFA grant funding, adjustments to the middle-mile network may be made and funding requested. (Funds could be requested either from

federal ARP fiscal relief funds or General Fund.) Similar coordination between CDT and CPUC is expected for the federal BEAD program.

Market Research for Network Business Plan Underway. CDT is also starting to conduct market research to develop a business plan for the maintenance, management, and operation of the middle-mile network as different segments are completed and activated. On February 12, 2024, CDT announced its market research will involve interviews with existing public middle-mile network administrators, large private middle-mile network operators, and potential state middle-mile network customers. According to CDT, their intent is to identify best practices to maintain and operate the network while minimizing the fiscal burden on the state. However, the third-party administrator GSN expects forecasting revenues to be extremely challenging due to the scale and size of the network and the unknown number of customers in both unserved and underserved areas of the state.

Last-Mile Project Grants

CPUC Continues to Award Grants Through CASF Grant Programs. In 2023, CPUC CASF grant programs received 74 applications requesting \$527 million. However, CPUC's expenditure authority for CASF grant programs in 2023 (net of state operations costs) was \$72.6 million, so only some grant applications could be funded. Other factors, such as application deadlines and review time, also may have limited the number of grants awarded. As a result, in 2023, CPUC awarded \$39.1 million from its five CASF grant programs: \$23.3 million from the Broadband Adoption Account, \$2.5 million from the Broadband Infrastructure Account (including its Line Extension Program), \$2 million from the Broadband Public Housing Account, \$10.3 million from the Rural and Urban Regional Broadband Consortia Grant Account, and \$1 million from the Tribal Technical Assistance Account.

CPUC Received Nearly 500 FFA Applications Requesting About \$4.5 Billion in Funding.

CPUC closed the first FFA grant application round on September 29, 2023 after receiving 484 applications requesting a total of \$4.55 billion.

CPUC also received 896 objections against these grant applications, as well as applicants' responses to those objections, by December 20, 2023. (Objections against FFA grant applications are written comments submitted by other parties that identify, for example, factual errors in an application or requirements in policy and/or statute for consideration alongside the application.) CPUC, in coordination with CDT, will review these applications and objections over the next six months and expect to make grant awards by the end of June 2024. Figure 4 provides a summary of the FFA grant applications by applicant including the number of applications submitted, the estimated total cost of all projects for which grant funds were requested, and the total amount of grant funding requested (including as a percentage of the total amount requested by all applicants).

LLRF

First LLRF Application Round Expected to Open in March. CPUC expects to open the first application round of the LLRF in March, followed by funding cycles of equal amounts opening every three months thereafter, and make its first awards sometime in the first half of 2024-25. Awards will be in the form of a debt service reserve—that is, a cash reserve of funds to make debt service payments if a project's cash flow is delayed or disrupted—guaranteed by a CPUC reserve fund. This debt service reserve guarantee is intended to function as a form of credit enhancement for the eligible debts associated with a financed broadband infrastructure project.

Figure 4

Nearly 500 FFA Grant Applications Totaling More Than \$4.5 Billion

Applicants	Number of Applications Submitted	Estimated Total Project Costs (In Millions)	Total Funding Amount Requested (In Millions)	Percent of Total Funding Amount Requested ^a
AT&T	250	\$2,614	\$1,437	32%
Golden State Connect Authority	37	1,251	818	18
Comcast	36	633	559	12
Cox	20	397	391	9
Frontier	23	226	170	4
Fort Bidwell Indian Community	1	87	86	2
Gateway Cities Council of Governments	1	79	78	2
Plumas-Sierra Telecommunications	11	75	75	2
Round Valley Indian Tribes	1	90	75	2
El Dorado County	4	66	66	1
Spectrum	22	85	64	1
AV Broadband Cooperative	1	155	45	1
City of Sacramento	1	41	39	1
AVX Networks	1	36	36	1
County of Los Angeles	1	85	35	1
Ranch WIFI	2	30	30	1
County of Placer	2	33	30	1
Karuk Tribe	1	454	29	1
The City of Huntington Park	1	25	25	1
Plenary Broadband Infrastructure Crenshaw	1	71	25	1
Hankins Information Technology	2	25	25	1
All Other Applicants ^b	64	483	412	9
Totals	483	\$7,041	\$4,550	

 $^{^{\}mathrm{a}}$ The percentages of total funding amount requested may not add up to 100 percent due to rounding.

b Includes all other applicants with requested amounts representing less than one percent of the total amount requested.

STATUS OF FEDERAL BROADBAND PROGRAMS

BEAD Program

NTIA Notified California of \$1.86 Billion

Program Allocation in June 2023. On

June 26, 2023, NTIA announced that California will receive \$1.86 billion in federal funds from the BEAD program. To receive this additional federal funding, CPUC was required to submit to NTIA both the state's Five-Year Action Plan—that is, a plan establishing the state's broadband goals and priorities over the next five years with a comprehensive needs assessment—and initial proposal describing the competitive process for last-mile broadband infrastructure project grants.

CPUC Submitted Five-Year Action Plan to NTIA in August 2023. Using the \$5 million award received in November 2022 from the state's initial BEAD program allocation of \$100 million, CPUC completed its draft of the state's Five-Year Action Plan on July 17, 2023. CPUC received over 500 pages of comments during the public comment period that ended August 11, 2023. CPUC then submitted the state's final Five-Year Action Plan to NTIA on August 28, 2023.

CPUC Submitted Initial Proposal to NTIA in December 2023. CPUC completed its draft of the state's initial proposal for the BEAD program on November 7, 2023. CPUC received several dozen comments during the public comment period that ended December 7, 2023. CPUC then submitted the state's initial proposal as two volumes (based on federal guidance) on December 27, 2023.

NTIA Approval of State's Second Initial Proposal Volume Will Make Available at Least 20 Percent of State's Allocation... CPUC expects NTIA to approve the first volume of the state's initial proposal by the end of February 2024 and the second volume in May 2024. Approval of the first volume will allow CPUC to conduct the challenge process that is required by the BEAD program. This process allows ISPs, local governments, or nonprofit organizations to challenge a determination made by CPUC that households or other locations are unserved or underserved. Successful challenges are submitted to NTIA for its review and approval. Approval of the second initial proposal volume will make available at least the first 20 percent of the state's BEAD program allocation.

...But Some of State's Remaining Allocation
Also Could Be Made Available. However, CPUC
also requested (based on federal guidance) that
NTIA make available to the state its entire program
allocation, but condition some amount of funding on
NTIA's approval of the state's final proposal. BEAD
program rules require CPUC to submit the state's
final proposal one year after the state's second
initial proposal volume is approved, so any remaining
funding conditions could be removed as early
as May 2025.

CPUC Anticipates Use of Budget Control Section for BEAD Program Funding. It is our understanding from CPUC that any funding from the state's BEAD program allocation will be received and made available for expenditure using a control section instead of a budget proposal. Specifically, Control Section 28.00 gives the administration flexibility to expend unanticipated federal funds or other nonstate funds during the current year. The Department of Finance could approve a Control Section 28.00 application from CPUC to receive and expend the state's BEAD program allocation, pending notification of the Legislature.

Digital Equity Act Programs

CDT Completed Draft Digital Equity Plan in December 2023. Using the \$4 million award received in November 2022 from the IIJA's State Digital Equity Planning Grant Program, CDT completed its draft of the state's digital equity plan on December 12, 2023. CDT received over 400 comments on the draft plan during the public comment period that ended January 25, 2024. The final digital equity plan is expected to be released before the end of 2023-24.

Federal Funding to Implement State's Digital Equity Plan Forthcoming. Funding from the IIJA's State Digital Equity Capacity Grant Program, as well as other sources of funding such as the IIJA's Digital Equity Competitive Grant Program, will be used by CDT (in coordination with other government entities) to implement the digital equity plan. However, the program rules and time line for these programs remain under development by NTIA. Therefore, the amount of additional federal funding the state could receive to implement its digital equity plan is not available.

Enabling Middle-Mile Broadband Infrastructure Program

NTIA Notified California of \$73 Million

Program Award in June 2023. On June 16, 2023,

NTIA announced that California will receive

\$73 million in federal funds for the state's

middle-mile network from the Enabling Middle-Mile Broadband Infrastructure Program. Chapter 189 of 2023 (SB 104, Skinner) appropriated this additional federal funding in 2023-24. However, it is our understanding from the administration that the state has not yet received this funding.

GOVERNOR'S 2024-25 BUDGET

FUNDING CHANGES

Requested 2024-25 Appropriations Reflect Funding Delays and Reductions as Well as New Augmentations. The Governor's budget requests a net total of \$750 million General Fund in 2024-25 for state broadband infrastructure programs and projects—\$500 million in planned appropriations and \$250 million in new appropriations. The \$500 million in planned appropriations is net of a proposed \$100 million delay and \$150 million reduction in otherwise planned appropriations for 2024-25.

- \$500 Million for Statewide Open-Access Middle-Mile Network Costs. Of the \$500 million General Fund requested in 2024-25, \$250 million is included in CDT's state operations budget as an anticipated appropriation based on uncodified statutory language adopted in Chapter 48 of 2022 (SB 189, Committee on Budget Fiscal Review). The Governor's budget requests a new, additional appropriation of \$250 million in 2024-25 as part of CDT's MMBI proposal (described below). CDT plans to use all \$500 million requested on standalone Caltrans construction projects.
 - » Proposed Budget Bill Language Would Allow Administration to Increase 2024-25 Amount. The Governor's budget also proposes budget bill language that would allow the Department of Finance to increase CDT's \$500 million appropriation in 2024-25 by up to an additional \$500 million General Fund.

- \$100 Million for Last-Mile Project Grants.
- As a General Fund budget solution, the Governor's budget proposes to delay \$100 million in planned last-mile project grant funding available through the CASF FFA from 2024-25 to 2026-27. This delay would leave \$100 million General Fund appropriated in 2024-25 for last-mile project grants.
- \$150 Million for LLRF Awards. As a General Fund budget solution, the Governor's budget also proposes to reduce \$150 million in planned LLRF awards in 2024-25. This reduction would leave \$150 million General Fund appropriated in 2024-25 for LLRF awards.

Proposed 2025-26 Allocations Reflect Both Reductions and New Augmentations.

The Governor's budget also proposes a net total of \$1.625 billion General Fund in 2025-26 for state broadband infrastructure programs and projects—\$1.25 billion in new allocations and \$375 million in planned allocations (net of proposed reductions).

- \$1.25 Billion for Statewide Open-Access
 Middle-Mile Network Costs. The Governor's
 budget proposes a new, additional allocation
 of \$1.25 billion General Fund in 2025-26 as
 part of CDT's MMBI proposal. CDT plans to
 use all \$1.25 billion requested on standalone
 Caltrans construction projects.
- \$200 Million for Last-Mile Project Grants. There are no proposed changes in the Governor's budget to the \$200 million in planned last-mile grant funding available through the CASF FFA in 2025-26.

 \$175 Million for LLRF Awards. As a General Fund budget solution, the Governor's budget proposes to reduce \$100 million in planned LLRF awards in 2025-26. This reduction would leave \$175 million General Fund allocated in 2025-26 for LLRF awards.

Proposed 2026-27 Allocation. The Governor's budget reflects the proposed delay of \$100 million General Fund in last-mile project grant funding available through the CASF FFA in 2024-25 by increasing the total planned allocation in 2026-27 by \$100 million—from \$150 million to \$250 million. **Figure 5** provides the broadband infrastructure spending plan as of the Governor's 2024-25 budget.

OTHER BUDGET PROPOSALS

In the next section, we assess three other broadband-related proposals in the Governor's budget that we briefly describe below—(1) CDT's MMBI proposal, (2) CPUC's CASF Local Assistance Budget Authority Augmentation proposal, and (3) CPUC's Ongoing Implementation of Broadband for All proposal.

 CDT's MMBI Proposal. This proposal contains the new, additional appropriation of \$250 million General Fund in 2024-25 and allocation of \$1.25 billion General Fund in 2025-26 discussed in the prior section.

Broadband Infrastructure Spending Plan as of the Governor's 2024-25 Budget (In Millions)

		Funding	Source		
Program or Project	Fiscal Year	GF	FF	TF	
Middle-Mile Network	Prior Years	\$887	\$2,363	\$3,250	
	2023-24	300	73	373	
	2024-25	500 ^a	_	500	Augmentation
	2025-26	1,250	_	1,250	Augmentation
	2026-27	_	_	_	
Subtotals	-	(\$2,937)	(\$2,436)	(\$5,373)	Augmentation
Last-Mile Project Grants ^b	Prior Years	\$647	\$550 ^c	\$1,197	
	2023-24	253	_	\$253	
	2024-25	100 ^d	_	\$100	Delay
	2025-26	200	_	\$200	
	2026-27	250 ^d	_	250	Delay
Subtotals	-	(\$1,450)	(\$550)	(\$2,000)	_
LLRF	Prior Years	_	_	_	
	2023-24	\$175	_	\$175	
	2024-25	150	_	150	Reduction
	2025-26	175	_	175	Reduction
	2026-27	_	_	_	
Subtotals	-	(\$500)	(—)	(\$500)	 Reduction
All Programs and Projects	Prior Years	\$1,534	\$2,913	\$4,447	
	2023-24	728	73	801	
	2024-25	750	_	750	
	2025-26	1,625	_	1,625	
	2026-27	250	_	250	_
Totals	-	\$4,887	\$2,986 ^e	\$7,873	_

^a Chapter 48 of 2022 (SB 189, Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review) included a legislative goal to appropriate \$250 million in 2024-25 for the middle-mile network.

^b Last-mile project funding amounts do not include \$50 million GF in Local Agency Technical Assistance funding.

^C Last-mile project FF amounts do not include \$1.86 billion in additional last-mile project funding from the IIJA, some of which could be allocated to the state starting in 2023-24.

^d The Governor's 2024-25 budget proposes to delay \$100 million in last-mile project funding from 2024-25 to 2026-27.

e FF amounts do not include additional IIJA funding from Digital Equity Act programs, specifically the state planning and state capacity programs.

GF = General Fund; FF = federal funds; TF = total funds; LLRF = Loan Loss Reserve Fund; SB = Senate Bill; and IIJA = Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act.

CDT also proposes to make permanent 36 positions that were established on a temporary basis in 2022 to support middle-mile network efforts using existing funding. Figure 6 provides a list of these positions by classification and working title.

» Proposed Budget Bill Language Would Allow Administration to Authorize Up to Three Additional Permanent Positions.

The Governor's budget also proposes budget bill language that would allow CDT to request authority from the Department of Finance to establish up to three additional permanent positions to support state middle-mile network efforts.

• CPUC's CASF Local Assistance Budget Authority Augmentation Proposal. CPUC proposes to increase its CASF local assistance expenditure authority from the current \$72.6 million to \$136.2 million in 2024-25 and ongoing. An additional \$13.8 million in CASF expenditure authority is

requested primarily to cover state operations costs, for a total expenditure authority request of \$150 million. CPUC would use increased local assistance expenditure authority to provide additional CASF program grants.

Figure 6

Proposed Permanent Positions in CDT's MMBI Proposal

Title	Classification
Deputy Director	CEA
Program Manager	IT Manager II
Assistant Program Manager	IT Manager I
IT Manager I - GIS	IT Manager I
Procurement Manager	IT Manager I
Senior Business Manager	IT Manager I
Assistant Business Technology Manager	IT Supervisor II
Business Manager	IT Supervisor II
Business Manager	IT Supervisor II
Senior Agreements Officer	IT Supervisor II
Senior Procurement Officer	IT Supervisor II
Senior Procurement Officer	IT Supervisor II
Senior Procurement Officer	IT Supervisor II
Senior Procurement Officer	IT Supervisor II
IT Specialist II - GIS	IT Specialist II
IT Specialist II - GIS	IT Specialist II
IT Specialist II - GIS	IT Specialist II
IT Specialist II - GIS	IT Specialist II
Financial Officer	IT Specialist I
Region 1 Business Manager	IT Specialist I
Region 2 Business Manager	IT Specialist I
Region 3 Business Manager	IT Specialist I
Region 4 Business Manager	IT Specialist I
Region 5 Business Manager	IT Specialist I
Staff Services Manager III	Staff Services Manager III
MMBI Legislative Manager	Staff Services Manager II
Assistant Delivery Manager	Staff Services Manager I
Stakeholder Engagement	Staff Services Manager I
Attorney III	Attorney III
MMBI Information Officer I	Information Officer I
Senior Accounting Officer - AP	Senior Accounting Officer
Senior Accounting Officer - AR	Senior Accounting Officer
Senior Management Auditor	Senior Management Auditor
Senior Telecommunications Engineer	Senior Telecommunications Engineer
AGPA - Administration	AGPA
AGPA - Financials	AGPA
CDT = California Department of Technology; MMBI CEA = Career Executive Assignment; IT = informat Systems: AR = Accounts Receivable: AP = Accounts	ion technology; GIS = Geographic Information

Systems; AR = Accounts Receivable; AP = Accounts Payable; and AGPA = Associate Governmental Program Analyst.

> · CPUC's Ongoing Implementation of Broadband for All Proposal. CPUC proposes to use \$9.9 million in budget authority from its Utilities Reimbursement Account in 2024-25 and ongoing to make permanent limited-term funding approved in 2021-22 for CPUC's broadband-related efforts. CPUC would use the budget authority to fund 46 permanent positions and convert 4 limited-term positions into permanent ones, for a total of 50 permanent positions.

ASSESSMENT

OVERALL CONSIDERATIONS

California Faces Serious Budget Problem in 2024-25, Significant Future Budget Deficits in 2025-26 and 2026-27. In our overview publication, we describe the serious budget problem facing the state in 2024-25 as well as the significant future budget deficits that are projected over 2025-26 and 2026-27. Our most recent deficit update suggests even further downside risk in 2024-25. Therefore, our assessment of the broadband infrastructure proposals in the Governor's budget considers not only the merit of the proposals, but also the fiscal pressure facing the state. Moreover, our assessment applies the recommendations from our overview publication to these proposals—

namely, applying a very high bar for all discretionary spending proposals and maximizing one-time spending reductions.

Substantial Amount of General Fund Appropriated for Broadband Infrastructure Remains Unencumbered and Unexpended. Out of the \$2.3 billion General Fund appropriated through 2023-24 across the middle-mile network (\$1.2 billion), last-mile project grants (\$900 million), and LLRF (\$175 million), approximately \$740 million is encumbered and only \$30 million has been expended. Therefore, nearly \$1.5 billion in General Fund appropriated for state broadband infrastructure remains unencumbered and unexpended. Figure 7 provides a summary of all the broadband infrastructure appropriations with encumbrance and expenditure estimates as of late 2023/early 2024.

Legislative Oversight Crucial as Broadband Infrastructure Programs and Projects Move to Implementation. Many of the federal and state broadband infrastructure programs and projects—especially the state's middle-mile network and FFA grant program along with the federal BEAD program—are finishing planning activities and moving into implementation. Billions of dollars, some of which are federal funds subject to specific encumbrance and expenditure deadlines, will be encumbered and expended over the next few fiscal years. (All federal ARP fiscal relief funds must be encumbered by the end of 2024 and expended by the end of 2026.) To achieve the goals of SB 156 and subsequent broadband infrastructure

Figure 7

Broadband Infrastructure Appropriations, Encumbrances, and Expenditures To Date

(In Millions)

		Funding	Source	
Program or Project	Funding Status	GF	FF	TF
Middle-Mile Network	Appropriated Encumbered Expended	\$1,187 737 ^a 30	\$2,436 2,354 ^b 932	\$3,623 3,091 962
Last-Mile Project Grants ^c	Appropriated Encumbered Expended	\$900 — —	\$550 — —	\$1,450 — —
LLRF	Appropriated Encumbered Expended	\$175 — —	- - -	\$175 — —
		Funding	Source	
Program or Project	Funding Status	GF	FF	TF
All Programs and Projects	Appropriated Encumbered Expended	\$2,262 737 30	\$2,986 ^c 2,354 932	\$5,248 3,091 962

- ^a Difference of \$2 million between GF encumbrance amount in Figure 3—Middle-Mile Network Projects With Encumbered Funding—and amount in this figure likely due to rounding.
- b Difference of \$208 million between FF encumbrance amount in Figure 3—Middle-Mile Network Projects With Encumbered Funding—and amount in this figure likely due to reporting funding for network electronics as encumbered in most recent quarterly ARP state fiscal recovery fund report.
- ^c Last-mile project appropriation, encumbrance, and expenditure amounts do not include \$50 million GF in Local Agency Technical Assistance funding. Most of this funding is encumbered and in the process of being expended.

GF = General Fund; FF = federal funds; TF = total funds; LLRF = Loan Loss Reserve Fund; and ARP = American Rescue Plan.

legislation, the Legislature's oversight of the administration's implementation of these programs and projects will be critical to their success. As part of our assessment and recommendations, we provide several different opportunities for the Legislature to perform this oversight role.

MIDDLE-MILE NETWORK PROPOSALS

CDT Proposed Alternative Approach to Middle-Mile Network Implementation...

On July 21, 2023, CDT proposed to implement the middle-mile network in two phases—approximately 8,300 miles in the first phase and 1,700 miles in the second phase. The first phase would be an operational network built, leased, and purchased using \$3.87 billion in funding appropriated or planned to be appropriated through 2024-25. (The \$3.87 billion includes a planned appropriation of \$250 million General Fund in 2024-25 that we discuss later in this brief.) The second phase would be built, leased, and/or purchased with alternative funding sources such as design changes and efficiencies to standalone Caltrans construction projects in the first phase and last-mile grant program funding for middle-mile infrastructure that is required to connect to a last-mile project.

...But Abandoned the Approach Shortly

Thereafter. However, by October 20, 2023, the negative response from members of the Legislature and stakeholders to the two-phased approach resulted in CDT abandoning this approach. CDT committed instead to bring forward the MMBI proposal in the Governor's budget to fund all remaining miles of the middle-mile network.

\$250 Million General Fund Appropriation in 2024-25 Consistent With Legislative

Goal... We find that the planned appropriation of \$250 million General Fund included in CDT's state operations budget for the middle-mile network is consistent with uncodified statutory language adopted in SB 189. This language separates this appropriation from the additional \$1.5 billion General Fund requested in the Governor's budget, but does not require the Legislature to fund this amount as it remains an uncodified statement of legislative intent.

...But Requires Additional Information.

CDT expects to use the \$250 million General Fund in 2024-25 for standalone Caltrans construction projects. However, key information about these projects is unavailable. First, CDT acknowledges that the RFI² process might identify other joint-build construction projects, leases, or purchases that are available at lower cost and could replace at least some of the Caltrans construction projects. CDT does not expect to finish reviewing RFI² proposals until 2024-25, so this information will remain unknown for some time. Second, CDT is unable to provide the Legislature with more detailed information about each standalone Caltrans construction project until Caltrans completes its pre-construction work. Therefore, it is unclear which projects would be funded by this \$250 million General Fund or, for that matter, by the \$950 million currently allocated for these projects in Figure 3. This information will be critical for the Legislature to obtain as it considers whether or not to fund this appropriation and CDT's MMBI proposal.

\$1.5 Billion General Fund Requested Does Not Meet the Very High Bar Required for Discretionary Spending Proposals. We find that the Governor's request for an additional \$250 million General Fund in 2024-25 and \$1.25 billion General Fund requested to be allocated in 2025-26 would not be sustainable under current revenue and expenditure projections. Furthermore, we do not find that this proposal meets the very high bar we set in our overview publication for discretionary spending proposals included in the Governor's budget. First, CDT expects to use the requested funding for standalone Caltrans construction projects absent any additional information about changes from the RFI² proposals or the status of pre-construction work. Second, CDT expects funding for these projects will be first come, first served as Caltrans pre-construction work is completed. A first-come, first-served approach limits the ability of the Legislature to prioritize projects based on, for example, ensuring a middle-mile network connection to last-mile projects funded through the federal BEAD program or FFA grant program. Third, the two-phased approach CDT presented in July 2023 suggests that an operational middle-mile network

of approximately 8,300 miles can be developed with existing and planned funding, and that the additional miles can be funded with alternative funding sources. Given the serious budget problem in 2024-25 and significant projected budget deficits in future years, this proposed use of General Fund is not advisable.

Proposed Provisional Budget Bill Language Does Not Reflect Administration's Intent and Complicates Legislative Oversight.

The proposed provisional budget bill language would allow the Department of Finance to increase the middle-mile network appropriation in 2024-25 by up to \$500 million General Fund but apparently without a commensurate reduction in the proposed appropriation in 2025-26. The proposed language also does not include legislative notification requirements. While we understand CDT intended for this provisional budget bill language to allow middle-mile network project implementation to accelerate, the administration drafted language that does not accomplish this intent and ignores the Legislature's role in reviewing current-year changes to enacted appropriations.

Legislative Oversight of Middle-Mile Network Requires Even More Information...

To provide oversight of CDT's middle-mile network implementation, the Legislature must have more information about key aspects of the project. This information includes:

- Standalone Caltrans Construction Project Details. Specifically, information is required about when Caltrans expects to complete pre-construction work on each project, how much funding is needed to complete the project, and whether last-mile projects funded through the federal BEAD program or FFA grant program will be served by the construction project. We advise prioritizing information about the first 1,800 miles or so of projects, as these are funded or to be funded consistent with legislative intent.
- RFI² Proposal Effects on Standalone
 Caltrans Construction Projects. Specifically,
 information is required about whether any
 standalone Caltrans construction projects will
 be replaced with a joint-build construction
 project, lease, or purchase; how much less

- the replacement project will cost than the Caltrans construction project; and how those cost savings will be used for the remainder of middle-mile network implementation.
- FFA Grant Applications Anticipating Connection to State's Middle-Mile Network. Specifically, information is required about how many of the projects in the nearly 500 FFA grant applications are expected to connect to the state's middle-mile network; whether currently planned and/or implemented middle-mile network projects are sufficiently available; and, if not, what amount of FFA grant program funding may be available for middle-mile infrastructure to connect last-mile projects.
- Business Plan and Market Research Information. Specifically, information is required about when an initial draft of the business plan for the middle-mile network will be available; what information will be contained in the business plan; and what key assumptions will be made such as customer churn and subscription rates, operating expenditures, and the timing of network activation.

...Including Evaluation of Alternative Approaches to Network Implementation.

Given the current budget problem and projected future budget deficits, the Legislature also could direct the administration to evaluate alternative approaches to network implementation.

For example, one alternative might be lease revenue bond financing that uses network customer revenues to finance its expansion without using General Fund. This evaluation could provide the Legislature with opportunities to determine whether the current implementation approach is the most cost-effective and efficient given the fiscal pressure facing the state.

LAST-MILE PROJECT GRANT PROPOSALS

Proposed Delay of FFA Grant Program Funding Likely Unsustainable in 2026-27.

The proposed delay of \$100 million General Fund of FFA grant program funding from 2024-25 to

www.lao.ca.gov 15

2026-27 in the Governor's budget represents a relatively small solution to the serious budget problem in 2024-25. Furthermore, both the administration and our office are forecasting a nearly \$30 billion budget deficit in 2026-27, which means the delayed funding is unsustainable under current revenue and expenditure projections. Therefore, based on these projections, this delay in FFA grant program funding could be viewed reasonably as a reduction.

Federal BEAD Program Funding Will Be Made Available in 2024-25. CPUC anticipates the state will receive at least 20 percent of its federal BEAD program allocation of \$1.86 billion (about \$370 million) in May 2024 when NTIA approves its second initial proposal volume. This amount of additional federal funding would exceed the amount of General Fund support proposed for delay in the Governor's budget. Moreover, if NTIA also approves CPUC's request to make available to the state its entire BEAD program allocation, \$1.86 billion in additional federal funding could be allocated for last-mile project grants before the end of 2024-25. This amount far exceeds all of the proposed and scheduled General Fund appropriations for FFA grant program awards, which total \$550 million from 2024-25 to 2026-27. Any reductions in these proposed and scheduled appropriations could help address the immediate budget problem in 2024-25 and the significant future budget deficits in 2025-26 and 2026-27.

Federal BEAD Program Non-Supplantation Language Does Not Preclude Prospective General Fund Reductions. Federal BEAD program documentation does include language that requires states and other program funding recipients to use their allocations to supplement, not supplant, amounts otherwise made available for last-mile project grants. However, based on our review of this non-supplantation language, reductions in proposed and scheduled General Fund appropriations from 2024-25 to 2026-27 would not be deemed supplantation because these funds have not yet been appropriated by the Legislature.

Legislative Oversight of How Federal BEAD
Program and FFA Grant Program Work Together
Is Necessary. In addition to the need for the
Legislature to consider last-mile project grant
funding from the General Fund within the context of

the budget problem and future budget deficits, the Legislature also could provide additional oversight of how the federal BEAD program and the FFA grant program complement one another. While the BEAD program requirements and rules are largely set by NTIA, CPUC can administer the FFA grant program based on the program requirements and rules set through its rulemaking procedures (with the partial exception of the \$550 million in federal ARP fiscal relief funds). Moreover, while the federal ARP fiscal relief funds must be encumbered by the end of 2024 and expended by the end of 2026, these deadlines do not apply to \$900 million General Fund in appropriations for the FFA grant program. How these two programs complement one another to distribute last-mile project funding to unserved and underserved areas and households in the state is one key area for legislative oversight during the budget process, particularly if additional reductions in one-time spending are needed to address the budget problem.

Anticipated Budget Control Section Process Limits Legislative Oversight. The Legislature also might consider whether the budget control section process CPUC expects to use to receive and expend the federal BEAD program allocation is appropriate for this amount of federal funds. We find that this process limits legislative oversight, as CPUC will not be required to submit a budget proposal to the Legislature for consideration and deliberation during the budget process. Furthermore, the legislative notification required by the budget control section only occurs after the Department of Finance approves CPUC's proposed use of the funds. Given that the federal BEAD program allocation is not unanticipated and that at least 20 percent of the state allocation will be made available to the state in May 2024 when the budget process is still underway, the Legislature could consider an alternative approach to this process through provisional budget bill language or trailer bill language.

LLRF PROPOSALS

Proposed Reductions in LLRF Reasonable. Given the serious budget problem, we find the reductions to the LLRF that are proposed in the Governor's budget to be a reasonable start.

As shown in Figure 7, none of the appropriated LLRF is encumbered or has been expended. A \$250 million General Fund reduction to the LLRF over two fiscal years, however, does not maximize one-time spending reductions as we recommend in our budget overview publication.

Additional Reductions or Elimination of LLRF Also Worthy of Consideration. Given the significant projected budget deficits across the three years for which LLRF funding is appropriated, consideration of additional reductions or even the elimination of the LLRF is warranted. CPUC does anticipate some demand for the LLRF in March, but this demand has yet to be demonstrated because rule-making for the program only recently concluded in November 2023. Furthermore, LLRF awards are not grants and will depend on applicants applying for and securing financing for their own broadband infrastructure projects. Some applicants might instead receive last-mile project grant funding from the FFA, federal BEAD program, or other CASF program accounts which might negate the applicants' need for credit enhancement offered by LLRF. To address the budget problem and/or preserve funding for other broadband programs and projects, the Legislature could consider additional reductions of LLRF appropriations and/or redirection of funding to the state's middle-mile network and/or FFA grant program.

OTHER PROPOSALS

No Concerns With Positions Requested in CDT's MMBI Proposal. We have no concerns with ongoing funding for the 36 administratively

established permanent positions that support CDT's middle-mile network implementation. Completion of the middle-mile network projects will take several more years and the maintenance, management, and operation of the network will be ongoing. We think the permanent positions that were established, based on an analysis of their workload, are warranted. We also have no concerns with related proposed provisional budget bill language allowing the administration to authorize up to three additional permanent positions. (The exact amount of funding to appropriate from CDT's MMBI proposal for these positions is unclear, but is likely in the millions of dollars.)

No Concerns With CPUC's CASF Local
Assistance Budget Authority Augmentation
Proposal. We have no concerns with CPUC's
request to increase its local assistance expenditure
authority to provide additional CASF program
grants. The requested amount of grant funding
in applications for CASF program accounts in
2023 far exceeded CPUC's expenditure authority.
Moreover, there is statutory authorization to
collect the amount of surcharge revenues that
could be disbursed as grant awards with this
expenditure authority.

No Concerns With CPUC's Ongoing Implementation of Broadband for All Proposal.

We have no concerns with CPUC's request to make permanent limited-term funding for 50 positions that support CPUC's broadband-related efforts. Several of the broadband programs and projects led by CPUC have long or ongoing implementation periods and will require permanent staff.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Approve \$250 Million General Fund in 2024-25 for Middle-Mile Network With New Provisional Budget Bill Language. We recommend the Legislature approve the \$250 million General Fund included in CDT's operating budget as a planned appropriation in 2024-25. This funding would meet the Legislature's stated goal in SB 189 to provide additional funding for increased middle-mile network costs and, as presented in July 2023, allow CDT to build, lease, and purchase enough miles to deliver an

operational middle-mile network. However, given the lack of critical information about middle-mile network construction, we also recommend the Legislature adopt provisional budget bill language that conditions this funding on more information about standalone Caltrans construction projects and other information that is deemed necessary for legislative oversight of middle-mile network implementation such as an initial draft of the business plan.

Reject Additional \$250 Million General Fund in 2024-25, \$1.25 Billion in 2025-26 for Middle-Mile Network. We recommend the Legislature reject the \$1.5 billion General Fund in CDT's MMBI proposal—\$250 million in 2024-25 and \$1.25 billion in 2025-26. The serious budget problem in 2024-25 and significant projected budget deficit in 2025-26 do not support the substantial General Fund investment in this proposal, particularly when detailed information is unavailable and alternative funding sources may be explored.

Reject Provisional Budget Bill Language Allowing for Increases in 2024-25

Appropriation. We recommend the Legislature reject the provisional budget bill language that allows the Department of Finance to increase CDT's 2024-25 middle-mile network appropriation by up to \$500 million. This is consistent with our recommendation on the \$1.5 billion in CDT's MMBI proposal, as well as our assessment that the language does not reflect the administration's intent and complicates legislative oversight.

Consider Provisional Budget Bill Language or Trailer Bill Language as Alternative to Budget Control Section Process. We recommend the Legislature consider adopting provisional budget bill language or trailer bill language clarifying the appropriation and allocation of federal BEAD program funds once received. The language also could request specific information from CPUC as the state entity administering the funds, such as any conditions placed on the funding by NTIA and any required changes by NTIA to state-administered BEAD program processes.

Consider Other Budget Solutions Using Planned Appropriations, Unencumbered and Unspent Funds. We recommend the Legislature consider other General Fund budget solutions using some of the remaining broadband infrastructure funding available. These solutions include:

- Reductions in Last-Mile Project Funding.
 Additional federal BEAD program funds of up to \$1.86 billion will be made available to the state in 2024-25. Reductions in proposed or scheduled appropriations of up to \$550 million General Fund in last-mile project funding from 2024-25 through 2026-27 would help with the budget problem and projected future budget deficits.
- Reductions in or Elimination of LLRF.
 Additional reductions to, or the complete elimination of, the LLRF would maximize one-time General Fund spending reductions already begun in the proposed Governor's budget. Up to \$500 million General Fund from 2023-24 to 2025-26 could be made available to close budget deficits.

Approve Other Proposals as Budgeted. As we have no concerns with them, we recommend the Legislature approve the following other proposals:

- Funding for the 36 administratively created positions in CDT's MMBI proposal that support its middle-mile network implementation efforts.
- CDT's proposed provisional budget bill language that allows the administration to authorize up to three additional permanent positions for CDT's middle-mile network implementation efforts.
- CPUC's CASF Local Assistance Budget Authority Augmentation proposal.
- CPUC's Ongoing Implementation of Broadband for All proposal.

LAO PUBLICATIONS

This report was prepared by Brian Metzker, and reviewed by Mark C. Newton and Carolyn Chu. The Legislative Analyst's Office (LAO) is a nonpartisan office that provides fiscal and policy information and advice to the Legislature.

To request publications call (916) 445-4656. This report and others, as well as an e-mail subscription service, are available on the LAO's website at www.lao.ca.gov. The LAO is located at 925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, California 95814.



To: Members of the GSCA Board of Directors

From: Barbara Hayes, RCRC Chief Economic Development Officer

Craig Ferguson, RCRC Senior Vice President

Date: May 7, 2024

Re: Broadband Program Update

SUMMARY

An overview of the applications submitted to the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Loan Loss Reserve Program and an overall project update will be presented.

BACKGROUND

On Friday, March 29, 2024, GSCA presented an overview of the proposed amounts for each GSCA Loan Loss Reserve application to the Chairs of Golden State Connect Authority, Golden State Finance Authority, and RCRC, as required under GSCA Resolution 24-02 for their review and questions.

Subsequently, on Friday, April 5, 2024, GSCA submitted thirty-seven applications to the CPUC for funding under the Loan Loss Reserve (LLR) Program. The thirty-seven LLR applications support the project areas identified in the thirty-seven applications GSCA submitted to the CPUC Federal Fund Account Last Mile Program in September 2023, which are currently being reviewed.

On Wednesday, April 24, 2024, the GSCA Executive Committee reviewed and endorsed the thirty-seven GSCA Loan Loss Reserve applications, pursuant to GSCA Resolution 24-02.

The LLR program was established under Senate Bill 156 and is intended to provide securitization for debt instruments (bonds, loan) assumed by local governments, tribes, and non-profit organizations for the deployment of broadband infrastructure. The program is divided into three categories of funding opportunities – Tribal (10%), Equity (50%), and General (40%). Projects that fall in the Tribal category are those that are proposed by or in partnership with Sovereign Tribal Governments; Equity projects are restricted to project areas where 75% or more of the project includes a Low Income/Disadvantaged Community (tribal projects are eligible in this category); and the General category is open to all projects.

GSCA had fourteen applications within the Equity category, with the remaining twenty-three in the General category. GSCA requested 100% coverage of our proposed bond issuances, per application. The total request for all GSCA applications under the LLR program is \$434,430,000.

GSCA must receive both the FFA Last Mile award and the associated LLR program award to advance a project to construction. Both elements of the financial stack, grant and bond proceeds, are needed to finance a proposed project area.

The CPUC has committed to a six-month review period for FFA Last Mile applications, which means the end of June 2024. The LLR program has made no such commitment as to review and decision timelines.

GSCA Resolution 24-02

GSCA Resolution 24-02, passed by the GSCA Executive Committee at the January 17, 2024, meeting granted the authority to submit CPUC Loan Loss Reserve Program applications to the Executive Director, should the timing of the application filing deadline fall between meetings of the GSCA Executive Committee or Board of Directors. The application deadline did, in fact, fall in such a period.

Resolution 24-02 required the Executive Director to "convene a meeting of the Chair of RCRC, Chair of GSFA, and Chair of GSCA to inform them of pending major actions and decisions." This convening was held on Friday, March 29, 2024.

The Resolution further states that the GSCA Executive Committee or Board of Directors will subsequently receive a report and be asked to endorse the applications submitted.

ATTACHMENTS

- GSCA Resolution No. 24-02
- GSCA Financing Overview

GSCA RESOLUTION NO. 24-02

RESOLUTION OF THE GOLDEN STATE CONNECT AUTHORITY AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO APPLY FOR AND ACCEPT STATE AND FEDERAL FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE FOR BROADBAND INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS

WHEREAS, Golden State Connect Authority (GSCA) is a joint powers authority organized and existing under Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 6500) of Division 7 of Title 1 of the California Government Code; and

WHEREAS, under Government Code section 26231 and that certain *Golden State Connect Authority Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement* (GSCA JPA), GSCA is authorized to establish and operate programs and projects to facilitate provision and expansion of broadband internet access service in rural communities, and to acquire, construct, improve, and maintain broadband infrastructure and operate broadband internet access service; and

WHEREAS, the federal government and the State of California operate multiple programs that provide financial assistance for the acquisition, construction, operation, and maintenance of broadband infrastructure projects, including the California Public Utilities Commission Federal Funding Account, Broadband Loan Loss Reserve Fund, California Advanced Services Fund, and Broadband Equity, Access, and Deployment Program; and

WHEREAS, GSCA has identified multiple potential broadband infrastructure projects within GSCA member counties that are financially viable and otherwise meet GSCA's policy goals; and

WHEREAS, many of these potential projects may require some amount of federal or state financial assistance to ensure financial viability; and

WHEREAS, under Section 10.b.1 of the GSCA JPA, the GSCA Executive Committee may exercise all powers of the Board as necessary to conduct the business and affairs of GSCA between Board meetings; and

WHEREAS, because specific deadlines relating to the application process and other requirements associated with state and federal financial assistance programs do not always allow for timely review and approval by the GSCA Board or the GSCA Executive Committee, the Executive Committee desires to authorize said the Executive Director to take all actions necessary to apply for and accept such financial assistance on behalf of GSCA, between regularly scheduled meetings when timeline does not allow for approval of either the GSCA Board or the Executive Committee. Such actions shall be reported to and endorsed by the GSCA Board or Executive Committee at the next regularly scheduled meeting. As a matter of practice, the Executive Director shall convene a meeting of the Chair of RCRC, Chair of GSFA and Chair of GSCA to inform them of pending major actions and decisions;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Executive Committee of the Golden State Finance Authority as follows:

- 1. The Executive Director or their designee is authorized to take all actions necessary to apply for, accept, and administer financial assistance from the federal government or State of California for the acquisition, construction, operation, and/or maintenance of broadband infrastructure projects located within one or more of GSCA's member counties. Such financial assistance may include, but is not limited to, funding under the California Public Utilities Commission Federal Funding Account, Broadband Loan Loss Reserve Fund, California Advanced Services Fund, and/or Broadband Equity, Access, and Deployment Program.
- 2. The Executive Director or their designee is further authorized to negotiate, execute, and deliver any contracts and agreements, and amendments thereto, and to otherwise act on GSCA's behalf in all matters necessary to obtain and administer such financial assistance.
- 3. The Executive Director or their designee is further authorized, for and in the name and on behalf of GSCA, to do any and all things and take any and all actions and execute and deliver any and all certificates, agreements, assignments, notes and other documents which they may deem necessary or advisable in order to consummate the matters contemplated by this Resolution.
- 4. The authorization provided by this Resolution shall expire on January 31, 2025, and shall thereafter be of no further force or effect.

PASSED APPROVED AND ADOPTED by the Executive Committee of Golden State Connect Authority, the 21st day of February 2024, by the following vote:

AYES:	
NOES:	
ABSENT:	
ABSTAIN:	
	Golden State Connect Authority
	2024 Chair of the Board

I certify that the foregoing resolution approved by the governing board of	1 7	
Sacramento, California.		
Date: February 21, 2024		
• ,	Secretary	

GSCA Application Project Finance Components FFA/Last Mile Program and Loan Loss Reserve Program Supported Bond Issuance

					Bond Portion - March 2024					
	Tot	al FFA Request	F	FA Available	Loan Loss Reserve Request	-	Total Project	Bond %	FFA %	Equity
ALPINE	\$	6,986,488	\$	6,989,054	3,660,000	\$	10,646,488	34.38%	65.62%	
AMADOR	\$	57,180,300	\$	57,203,182	9,210,000	\$	66,390,300	13.87%	86.13%	
BUTTE	\$	2,001,448	\$	19,200,702	650,000	\$	2,651,448	24.51%	75.49%	Х
OROVILLE	\$	8,013,279		Same	33,080,000	\$	41,093,279	80.50%	19.50%	Х
CALAVERAS	\$	30,796,660	\$	30,803,504	8,475,000	\$	39,271,660	21.58%	78.42%	
COLUSA	\$	4,374,405	\$	28,949,944	1,810,000	\$	6,184,405	29.27%	70.73%	Χ
DEL NORTE	\$	10,255,459	\$	10,289,691	15,195,000	\$	25,450,459	59.70%	40.30%	
GLENN	\$	22,351,876	\$	22,367,953	6,600,000	\$	28,951,876	22.80%	77.20%	
IMPERIAL	\$	13,834,949	\$	13,953,151	8,855,000	\$	22,689,949	39.03%	60.97%	Χ
KINGS	\$	37,686,360	\$	37,686,606	13,305,000	\$	50,991,360	26.09%	73.91%	Χ
LAKE	\$	28,305,451	\$	28,435,066	17,540,000	\$	45,845,451	38.26%	61.74%	
LASSEN	\$	16,774,260	\$	24,906,799	2,340,000	\$	19,114,260	12.24%	87.76%	
SUSANVILLE	\$	6,766,665		Same	12,285,000	\$	19,051,665	64.48%	35.52%	Χ
MARIPOSA	\$	35,068,792	\$	40,840,910	8,950,000	\$	44,018,792	20.33%	79.67%	Χ
MENDOCINO	\$	57,405,489	\$	57,430,812	22,955,000	\$	80,360,489	28.57%	71.43%	Χ
MODOC	\$	22,338,679	\$	23,143,741	3,610,000	\$	25,948,679	13.91%	86.09%	Χ
MONO	\$	6,074,134	\$	10,598,618	3,740,000	\$	9,814,134	38.11%	61.89%	
MAMMOTH LAKES	\$	4,158,014		Same	33,290,000	\$	37,448,014	88.90%	11.10%	
MONTEREY	\$	4,034,465	\$	17,276,545	2,665,000	\$	6,699,465	39.78%	60.22%	
GONZALES	\$	2,869,218		Same	5,230,000	\$	8,099,218	64.57%	35.43%	Χ
GREENFIELD	\$	3,322,661		Same	10,610,000	\$	13,932,661	76.15%	23.85%	
SOLEDAD	\$	3,794,430		Same	10,815,000	\$	14,609,430	74.03%	25.97%	Χ
KING CITY	\$	3,049,175		Same	8,390,000	\$	11,439,175	73.34%	26.66%	
NEVADA	\$	74,823,428		74,866,197	19,155,000	\$	93,978,428	20.38%	79.62%	
PLUMAS	\$	42,271,212	\$	42,282,567	7,135,000	\$	49,406,212	14.44%	85.56%	
SAN BENITO	\$	10,430,010	\$	10,436,025	11,535,000	\$	21,965,010	52.52%	47.48%	
SAN LUIS OBISPO	\$	22,326,472	\$	22,346,936	28,170,000	\$	50,496,472	55.79%	44.21%	Х
SANTA BARBARA	\$	15,867,528	\$	15,870,746	12,645,000	\$	28,512,528	44.35%	55.65%	Χ
SHASTA	\$	32,391,128	\$	32,441,786	15,335,000	\$	47,726,128	32.13%	67.87%	
SIERRA	\$	4,385,896	\$	12,506,375	1,435,000	\$	5,820,896	24.65%	75.35%	
SISKIYOU	\$	45,788,049	\$	45,789,155	13,920,000	\$	59,708,049	23.31%	76.69%	
SONOMA	\$	17,003,773	\$	19,233,509	3,315,000	\$	20,318,773	16.31%	83.69%	
SUTTER	\$	20,396,571	\$	20,397,544	14,990,000	\$	35,386,571	42.36%	57.64%	
JOTTER	٦	20,330,371	٠	20,357,344	14,330,000	۲	33,300,371	42.30%	37.04/0	
TEHAMA	\$	74,798,880	\$	74,801,160	32,095,000	\$	106,893,880	30.03%	69.97%	
TUOLUMNE	\$	15,541,814	\$	15,546,864	9,150,000	\$	24,691,814	37.06%	62.94%	Х
YOLO	\$	15,391,335	\$	15,391,758	17,180,000	\$	32,571,335	52.75%	47.25%	
YUBA	\$		\$	39,372,153	5,110,000	\$	44,477,723	11.49%	88.51%	
TOTAL	\$	818,226,476	\$	871,359,053	434,430,000		, ,. =0	32576	33.3270	

KEY:

FFA/Last Mile Program

Loan Loss Reserve Program